US EPA Mussel Toxicity Workshop

August 23-24, 2005 Chicago, Illinois

Welcome!



<u>Acknowledgments</u>

- EPA Region 5-Host:
 - Brian Thompson
 - David Pfeifer
 - Ed Hammer
 - Rob Pepin
- EPA ORD:
 - Chuck Stephan
- EPA HQ:
 - Charlie Delos
- All presenters



<u>Purpose</u>

- To discuss the science of mussel toxicity testing by sharing the latest scientific information and experiences
- To share as much scientific information as possible
- To have open discussions of the science



- Ammonia criteria re-evaluation:
 - Data call July 2004
 - New data requested
 - Mussel toxicity information specified
 - Numerous questions, comments and concerns submitted regarding mussel toxicity testing
 - No decision yet made by EPA



- Comments from data call:
 - 15 sets of comments
 - 50 pages of materials
 - Policy and Programmatic
 - Scientific



Key Policy/Programmatic comments from data call:

- Use of data on E&T species in standards development is a major policy shift
- States want to be involved in any programmatic change
- It is important that water quality standards be protective of E&T species
- A moratorium on using mussel toxicity testing information in water quality standards would be prudent
- Consideration of changes to the 304(a) ammonia criteria should be delayed
- The EPA criteria derivation guidelines should be revised to include E&T species if such are to be included
- A site-specific exclusion of mussel data should be allowed



Key Scientific comments from data call:

- The science of mussel toxicity testing is uncertain
- The science must be thoroughly explored
- Methodologies must be peer reviewed
- Unionid mussels have unique life histories, unlike other organisms used in toxicity testing
- Unionid mussel toxicity testing is very complex
- Propagation and culturing of mussel glochidia and juveniles is very difficult
- Control mortality is high in mussel toxicity testing
- What is the most appropriate type of test?
- Are lab results representative of toxicity effects in the environment
- Only eastern mussel species have been tested



The Workshop

- Workshop to address scientific issues
 - Announced over past 10 months
 - Region 5 host
 - Web announcement
 - Mussel experts identified and invited
 - Other papers/presenters included
 - Format to include scientific presentations and discussion sessions

Workshop Focus

- Key questions and issues for workshop:
 - Is mussel toxicity testing a scientifically acceptable procedure that generates reliable results?
 - propagation, culturing
 - adults, juveniles or glochidia
 - acute, chronic
 - reproducibility, regional differences
 - precision, sensitivity
 - What is the relationship between laboratory toxicity testing and field observations?
 - Are there scientific reasons why mussel toxicity testing can not be used for criteria development?

Ground Rules

- Discuss the science of mussel toxicity testing—not policy
- Not here to discuss ammonia criteria or any other specific standard
- Presenters are expressing their knowledge and professional opinions, not those of EPA's
- EPA is here to gather as much information as possible
- Need to adhere to time allocated for presentations and discussions



Outcomes

- EPA will prepare a summary of the information presented and make it available
- EPA will factor this information into making a final decision about the ammonia criteria
- Results may be pertinent to other criteria
- Information may be applied to EPA's criteria methodology revisions



EPA Key Contacts

- EPA Headquarters:
 - swietlik.william@epa.gov
 - **202-566-1129**
- EPA Region 5:
 - thompson.brian@epa.gov
 - - 312-886-6066

Workshop Focus

- Key questions and issues for workshop:
 - Is mussel toxicity testing a scientifically acceptable procedure that generates reliable results?
 - propagation, culturing
 - adults, juveniles or glochidia
 - acute, chronic
 - reproducibility
 - precision, sensitivity
 - What is the relationship between laboratory toxicity testing and field observations?
 - Are there scientific reasons why mussel toxicity testing should not be used for criteria development?